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#### Abstract

A unique mode of stoichiometric $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ activation and reductive splitting based on metal-ligand-cooperation is described.  PNP*, deprotonated ${ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{PNP}$ ligand) and $\left[\left({ }^{( } \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{PNP}\right) \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{H})\right]$ (3) react with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to give the dearomatized complex $\left[\left({ }^{( } \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{PNP} *\right) \mathrm{Ir}-\right.$ (CO)] (4) and water. Mechanistic studies have identified an adduct  in which $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is bound to the ligand and metal, [( ${ }^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{PNP}-$ $\left.\mathrm{COO}) \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{H})_{2}\right](5)$, and a di- $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ iridacycle $\left[\left({ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{PNP}\right) \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{H})\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}-\kappa_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O}}\right)\right](6)$. DFT calculations confirm the formation of 5 and 6 as reversibly formed side products, and suggest an $\eta^{1}-\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ intermediate leading to the thermodynamic product 4. The calculations support a metal-ligand-cooperation pathway in which an internal deprotonation of the benzylic position by the $\eta^{1}$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ligand leads to a carboxylate intermediate, which further reacts with the hydride ligand to give complex 4 and water.


## INTRODUCTION

The fundamental reactivity patterns of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ with transition metal complexes are of much current interest. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ binding to metal centers is versatile and can involve metal-carbon $\sigma$ bonding ( $\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}$ ) or metal-oxygen bonding ( $\eta^{1}-\mathrm{O}, \eta^{2}-\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{O}$ ) and $\pi$ bonding ( $\eta^{2}-\mathrm{CO}$ ). ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~g}} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ can also serve as a bridging ligand, forming polymetallic complexes. ${ }^{2}$ The Lewis acidic carbon atom and the Lewis basic oxygen atoms of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ allow its bifunctional activation by early late bimetallic complexes, as was described for the dimer $\operatorname{Ir}-\left(\mu^{2}-\eta_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O}}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{CO}_{2}-\mathrm{Zr}^{3}{ }^{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ coordination was reported for complexes with a pendant Lewis basic ligand, which binds to the carbon atom of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ while the oxygen atom is bound to the metal center, as in frustrated Lewis pairs. ${ }^{4}$ Bifunctional $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ activation, where the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ carbon atom acts as an electrophile on an activated site of a ligand was reported for PMI-Mo (PMI, pyridine monoamine), ${ }^{5} \beta$-diketiminate $\mathrm{Sc},{ }^{6}$ and Pd diphenylphosphinoacetate complexes. ${ }^{7}$ Reductive coupling of two $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ molecules to give a stable metallacycle was reported for $\mathrm{Ir}^{8}$ and $\mathrm{Rh}^{9}$ complexes, and it is assumed to be formed by nucleophilic attack of the oxygen atom in bound $\eta^{1}-\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ on a free $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ molecule. Reductive coupling of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to give oxalate is also known. ${ }^{10}$

Another mode of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ activation is $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ insertion into a metal-ligand bond, such as insertion into metal-alkyl, ${ }^{11}$ ary ${ }^{11 \mathrm{~b}, 12}$ and allyl ${ }^{11 \mathrm{~d}, 13}$ bonds. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ insertion into metalhydroxide ${ }^{14}$ and alkoxide ${ }^{14 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{~g}, 15}$ bonds leads to carbonate complexes, while $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ insertion into a metal-amide bond leads to carbamates. ${ }^{14 \mathrm{~b}, 16,17} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ insertion into a metalhydride bond yields a formato complex, ${ }^{18,10 a, 19}$ a key step in catalytic $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ hydrogenation to formic acid and formate salts. ${ }^{20}$ The most efficient metal complex catalyst for the hydrogenation of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to formate by $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is the $\mathrm{Ir}(\mathrm{III}){ }^{i} \mathrm{Pr}$-PNP complex reported by Nozaki and co-workers. ${ }^{21}$
$\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ reduction to CO by metals can take place by several different pathways: reductive cleavage of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to CO and water (reverse water gas shift reaction), ${ }^{22}$ reductive disproportionation by oxide transfer from metal $-\eta^{1}-\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to free $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ affording $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CO}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{-2}, 3$ or oxygen transfer from $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to an oxygen acceptor such as hydride and phosphine ligands or an oxophilic metal. ${ }^{23 c, 24}$ Kaska, Mayer, Harrison, and coworkers reported the reaction of Ir an Rh hydride complexes with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to give formate complexes as intermediates, which disproportionate to carbonyl complexes. ${ }^{18,19}$

Recently, we reported a new binding mode of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ promoted by metal-ligand-cooperation (MLC) by PNP dearomatized pincer complexes of $\mathrm{Fe},{ }^{25} \mathrm{Ru},{ }^{26}$ and $\mathrm{Re}^{27}$ (PNP, 2,6-bis(ditertbutylphosphinomethyl)pyridine). A concerted 1,3 addition of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ by the metal center and the deprotonated benzylic position of the PNP ligand takes place to form new $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds. Similar reactivity with $\mathrm{PNN}-\mathrm{Ru}$ was reported by Sanford and co-workers. ${ }^{28}$ Berke and co-workers demonstrated $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ activation by MLC with ( $\mathrm{PN}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{P}$ ) Mo and W complexes $\left(\mathrm{PN}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{P},\left({ }^{i} \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right) .{ }^{29}$

Here, we present a novel mode of stoichiometric $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ reductive cleavage to CO and water by an $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{I})$ hydrido pincer complex via MLC. ${ }^{30}$ We have reported in the past MLC activation of $\mathrm{H}_{2},{ }^{31} \mathrm{Csp}^{2}-\mathrm{H}^{31}$, and $\mathrm{Csp}^{3}-\mathrm{H}^{32}$ bonds by $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{I})$ ${ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}$-PNP complexes. Recently, we have reported the activation of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ by an $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{I})^{t} \mathrm{Bu}$-PNP complex, involving a MLC mechanism in which proton transfer from the benzylic position of the PNP ligand to a peroxo ligand took place, yielding a dearomatized hydroxo complex. ${ }^{14 a}$ Herein we suggest that proton transfer from the pincer ligand to an $\eta^{1}-\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adduct

[^0]can lead to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ reductive cleavage, as evidenced experimentally and computationally.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis and Characterization of $\left[\left({ }^{( } \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{PNP} *\right) \operatorname{lr}(\mathrm{H})_{2}\right]$ and $\left[{ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{PNP}\right)$ IrH]. Reaction of $\left[\left({ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{PNP}\right) \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{H})_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ $(\mathbf{1})^{33}$ with 1 equiv of base (KHMDS in toluene or ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOK}$ in THF) resulted in the formation of a 3:7 mixture of [ ${ }^{( } \mathrm{Bu}-$ PNP* $\left.{ }^{*} \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{H})_{2}\right]$ (2) ( ${ }^{\text {TBu-PNP* }}$, deprotonated ${ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{PNP}$ ligand) and $\left[\left({ }^{( } \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{PNP}\right) \mathrm{IrH}\right]$ (3), respectively (Scheme 1). Upon

Scheme 1. Deprotonation of Complex 1 To Give a Mixture of 2 and 3

addition of the base to complex $\mathbf{1}$ at 195 K , and following the reaction progress at low temperatures by NMR, it was observed that complex 2 is the kinetic product, while complex 3 was obtained at elevated temperatures (Figure 1). The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$


Figure 1. Variable temperature ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture of $\mathbf{1}$ with KHMDS in toluene- $d_{8}$ to give 2 and 3: (a) 230 K , (b) after 30 min at 280 K , (c) after 2 h at 298 K , (d) after workup at 298 K (see Supporting Information for a larger temperature range spectra) (i, unidentified impurities).

NMR spectrum of 2 exhibits an AB splitting pattern, indicating two nonequivalent P atoms. The deprotonated "arm" of $\mathbf{2}$ gives rise to a signal at 4.15 ppm in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, corresponding to one proton, and a CH doublet signal at 73.46 $\mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{1}{ }^{\mathrm{PC}}=55.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CQDEPT}$ spectrum. The hydrides give rise to a single triplet signal at $-26.35\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PH}}=\right.$ 10.1 Hz ), integrating to 2 H , similar to the hydrides of complex 1. ${ }^{33}$

Complex 3 exhibits a symmetric ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral pattern of the ligand backbone, and a singlet signal in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum at 76.81 ppm , as expected for an aromatic square planar complex. The hydride of complex 3 gives rise to a triplet signal at $-11.10\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PH}}=17.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, integrating to 1 H . The X-ray structure of 3 (Figure 2), in which the hydride was located, reveals a distorted square planar geometry with $\operatorname{Ir}-\mathrm{H}(1)$ bond length of $1.48(5) \AA$ A. Complex 3 is a rare example of a square planar $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{I})$ monohydride. To the best of our knowledge, only two other isolated four-


Figure 2. Crystal structure of complex 3 at $50 \%$ probability level. Hydrogen atoms (with the exception of H 1 ) are omitted for clarity. Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and angles ( deg ): $\mathrm{N}(1)-\operatorname{Ir}(1), 2.049(8) ; \mathrm{P}(1)-$ $\operatorname{Ir}(1), 2.2406(13) ; \mathrm{H}(1)-\operatorname{Ir}(1), 1.48(5) ; \mathrm{N}(1)-\operatorname{Ir}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$, 84.38(11); $\mathrm{N}(1)-\operatorname{Ir}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1), 180.000(18) ; \mathrm{H}(1)-\operatorname{Ir}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$, 95.62(11).
coordinated $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{I})$ monohydride complexes were previously reported, a pincer pyridine-dicarbene-Iridium mono hydride complex ((CNHC-N-CNHC)IrH) was reported by Danopoulos et al. ${ }^{34}$ and the complex $\left[\operatorname{IrH}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)\left(\operatorname{PmAd}\left({ }^{\mathrm{i}} \operatorname{Pr}\right)_{2}\right)_{2}\right](\mathrm{mAd}$ $=$ methylene-1-adamantyl) was reported by Figueroa et al. ${ }^{35}$ While complex 2 was found to be unstable at ambient temperature, Nozaki et al. reported that the ${ }^{i} \operatorname{Pr}$ analogue of $\mathbf{2}$ is stable and does not aromatize to the monohydride complex. ${ }^{21 a}$

DFT calculations (SMD(THF)-DSD-PBEB86-D3BJ/def2-TZVP//BP86-D3/def2-SV(P)) conducted on the actual complexes 2 and 3 show that 3 is more stable than 2 (by 3.9 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}) .{ }^{36}$ Although complex 3 is the thermodynamic product of deprotonation of $\mathbf{1}$, it could not be formed exclusively, and was always obtained as a major component in a mixture with complex 2 in a ratio of about 7:3. ${ }^{37}$ Spin saturation transfer (SST) experiments were conducted on a crude sample of 2 and 3, which was obtained in situ by addition of KHMDS to complex 1, as well as on a "worked-up" sample, free of the conjugated acid and salt (Figure 3). The SST of the crude sample showed a clear proton exchange between the


Figure 3. Spin saturation transfer (SST) experiments with complexes 2 and 3. (a) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 2 and 3 (hydride region) in toluene- $d_{8}$. (b and c) Spin saturation of the hydrides of complexes 2 and 3 , respectively, of a crude sample. (d and e) Spin saturation of hydrides of complexes 2 and 3, respectively, of a "worked-up" sample. Saturated signals denoted by an asterisk (*) (see Supporting Information for SST experiments which include the PNP ligand protons).
hydrides, as well as between the hydrides and the benzylic positions of 2 and 3. However, when an SST experiment was conducted on a "worked-up" sample of 2 and 3 (in the absence of the formed conjugate acid HMDS), no proton exchange between 2 and 3 was observed. Thus, we concluded that the exchange between 2 and 3 required a proton transfer assistant, such as HMDS or water.
$\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ Reductive Cleavage by Complexes 2 and 3. A mixture of complexes 2 and 3 reacts with CO at ambient temperature to release $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ by MLC, resulting in the dearomatized carbonyl complex [( $\left.\left.{ }^{\text {tBu-PNP* }}\right) \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO})\right](4)^{32}$ exclusively (Scheme 2). Similar MLC reactivity with CO was

Scheme 2. Reactivity of 2 and 3 with CO and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$

observed in $\left[\left({ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{PNP}\right) \mathrm{CoH}\right] .{ }^{19 \mathrm{c}}$ Surprisingly, reaction of complexes 2 and 3 with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}\left(1\right.$ equiv $^{38}$ or excess up to ca. 1500 equiv ( 2 bar )) resulted in the formation of 4 , while the related complexes $\left[\left({ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{PNP}\right) \mathrm{CoH}\right],{ }^{19 \mathrm{c}}\left[(\mathrm{POCOP}) \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{H})_{2}\right]^{20 \mathrm{~b}}$ $\left(\mathrm{POCOP}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-1,3-\left[\mathrm{OP}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right]\right),\left[(\mathrm{PCP}) \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{H})_{2}\right]^{20 \mathrm{~b}}(\mathrm{PCP}=$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-1,3-\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{P}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right]\right), \quad\left[\left(\mathrm{HN}\left({ }^{i} \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{PC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2}\right) \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{H})_{3}\right],{ }^{20 a}$ and $\left[\left({ }^{i} \operatorname{Pr}-\mathrm{PNP}\right) \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{H})_{3}\right]^{21}$ were reported to yield a formato complex upon reaction with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. A DFT study by Hazari and coworkers ${ }^{20 a}$ suggests that more nucleophilic hydrides undergo $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ insertion more readily. The hydrides in complexes 2 and 3 are possibly weaker nucleophiles; hence, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ insertion to yield a formato complex was not observed.

To shed light on the reaction mechanism of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ reduction to CO by complexes 2 and 3, the reaction progress was followed at ambient and low temperatures. Upon addition of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to complexes 2 and 3 at 195 K , a new complex was identified (5) (Scheme 3). Complex 5 is obtained by a

Scheme 3. Reaction Pathway of Complexes 2 and 3 with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ To Give Complexes 4, 5, and 6

concerted [1,3]-addition of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to the exocyclic carbon of a dearomatized pincer ligand, resulting in the formation of new $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds. This mode of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ activation by MLC was previously reported to take place reversibly by ${ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}$-PNP Ru and Re complexes as well as by a PNN Ru complex (PNN $=2$ -$\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{P}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)-6-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) .{ }^{26-28}$ While complex 5 could be obtained at low temperature ( 195 K ), after 3 h at ambient temperature, only complex 4 was detected (Figure 4). The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of 5 exhibits an AB splitting


Figure 4. Variable temperature ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra ( 202 MHz ) of the reaction mixture of 2 and 3 with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in toluene- $d_{8}$ to give $\mathbf{4}$ and 5 : (a) 220 K , (b) after 30 min at 283 K , (c) after 3 h at 298 K (i, unidentified intermediates).
pattern, indicating two nonequivalent $P$ atoms. The ${ }^{1} H$ NMR of 5 exhibits two hydride signals which appear as a doublet of triplets at $-26.08\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{HP}}=17.9 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ and -17.82 $\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{HP}}=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. The $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ carbon gives rise to a singlet signal at 170.9 ppm . Labeled complex $5-{ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ exhibits in the HMBC 2D NMR a correlation between the benzylic proton (P-CH-Py) and the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ carbon, confirming the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond between the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and the benzylic position.

1,3-Addition of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to ${ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}$-PNP $\mathrm{Ru}^{26}{ }^{26} \mathrm{Re},{ }^{27}$ and to the phosphine arm of PNN $\mathrm{Ru}^{28}$ complexes was found to be reversible at ambient or elevated temperatures. Complex $5-{ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (prepared in situ) did not exhibit exchange with additional ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at 273 K ; however, at ambient temperature, complex $4-{ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ was obtained, according to ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CQDEPT}$. Thus, complex 5 is reversibly formed.

Complexes 2 and 3 reacted instantly with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at ambient temperature in benzene, toluene, or THF to give a brown solution and a white precipitate. Gradually, after standing overnight, the reaction mixture turned red and most of the precipitate disappeared. Upon vacuum application on the reaction mixture, a red solid was formed and was dissolved in benzene to give a clear red solution of complex $4 .{ }^{39}$ Following the reaction progress with 1 and 10 equiv of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in benzene by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR showed that in both reactions complex 4 was formed spontaneously. Signals of complex 5 were also detected immediately after $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ addition; however, they disappeared after $2 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{40}$ The reaction progress was 1.5 times faster with only 1 equiv of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, while more precipitation was observed (qualitatively) with 10 equiv of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. In both reactions, complex 4 was obtained quantitatively after vacuum was applied on the reaction mixture. If vacuum was not applied, complex 4 was obtained in $90 \%$ and $80 \%$ yield in the reactions with 1 and 10 equiv of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, respectively, whereas the remaining was an insoluble white precipitate. The white precipitate was isolated and identified by NMR and X-ray analysis as [('Bu-PNP)Ir(H) $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}-\kappa_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O}}\right)\right]$ (6, Scheme 3). Complex 6 is a rare example of a metallacycle formed by reductive coupling of two $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ molecules. Only two characterized $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}-\kappa_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O}} \mathrm{Ir}$ complexes were reported, ${ }^{8}$ although it was suggested also for a Rh complex. ${ }^{9}$ While complex 6 was formed as a minor product ( $10 \%$ yield in the reaction with 1 equiv of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ), when a large excess of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ was added ( 18 equiv), complex 6 could be obtained in $35 \%$ yield by precipitation with pentane. Complex 6 is soluble in acetone and methylene chloride; however, it is not stable in these solvents at ambient temperature, and decomposes to give a mixture of unidentified complexes. Similar behavior was reported by Oro and Langer et al. ${ }^{8 a}$

Complex 6 is reversibly formed, and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ removal from complex 6 by vacuum resulted in the formation of complex 4.

Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis of $\mathbf{6}$ were obtained by layering pentane over an acetone or methylene chloride concentrated solution of 6 at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The X-ray structure of complex 6 (Figure 5) reveals an octahedral geometry, with the


Figure 5. Crystal structure of complex 6 at $50 \%$ probability level. Hydrogen atoms (with the exception of H 1 ) are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and angles (deg): N(1)- $\operatorname{Ir}(1), 2.140(2)$; $\mathrm{P}(1)-\operatorname{Ir}(1), 2.3245(7) ; \mathrm{H}(1)-\operatorname{Ir}(1), 1.43(3) ; \mathrm{O}(4)-\operatorname{Ir}(1)$, 2.1762(19); $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{Ir}(1), 1.976(3) ; \mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(24), 1.209(4) ; \mathrm{O}(2)-$ $\mathrm{C}(24), 1.445(3) ; \mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(25), 1.392(4) ; \mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(25), 1.227(4)$; $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(25), 1.270(3) ; \mathrm{N}(1)-\operatorname{Ir}(1)-\mathrm{C}(24), 177.06(10) ; \mathrm{P}(1)-$ $\operatorname{Ir}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2), 159.92(3) ; \mathrm{O}(4)-\operatorname{Ir}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1), 175.3(13)$.
hydride ligand located trans to an oxygen atom of the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{-}$ $\kappa_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O}}$ ligand. The bond lengths of the metallacycle moiety in complex 6 are similar to the bond lengths of the two reported X-ray structures $\left[m e r-\operatorname{IrCl}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}-\kappa_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O}}\right)\right] \quad\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right.$, $\mathrm{PPhMe}_{2}$ ), with the exception of the $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{O}(4)$ bond length, which is elongated by $0.06 \AA$. This elongation can be explained by the strong trans influence of the hydride ligand, which is located trans to $\mathrm{O}(4)$, while in the reported structures, the relevant O atom is trans to a phosphine ligand.

NMR characterization of 6 was possible by low-temperature measurements in methylene chloride or acetone. Complex 6 gives rise to a singlet signal at 52.11 ppm in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum, indicative of an aromatized complex. The hydride ligand exhibits a triplet at -22.20 ppm in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR with ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PH}}=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, and a doublet of triplets with an additional coupling of ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CH}}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ when ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ was applied. The $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}-$ $\kappa_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O}}$ ligand gives rise to a broad triplet signal at 169.2 ppm ( $\mathrm{Ir}-$ $\mathrm{C},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PC}}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) and a singlet signal at $161.17 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C})$, and infrared bands at $1705.8,1664.6$, and $1284.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. With the use of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$, a red shift was observed with bands at 1662.6 , 1610.1, and $1260.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The reported chemical shifts and IR bands for the related complex $\left[\right.$ mer $\left.-\mathrm{IrCl}\left(\mathrm{PPhMe}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}-\kappa_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O}}\right)\right]$ are slightly different, with signals at 159.3 and 158.8 ppm in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR and IR bands at 1727, 1686, 1278, and 999 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. For the related complex $\left[m e r-\operatorname{IrCl}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}-\kappa_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O}}\right)\right]$ IR bands at 1725, 1680, 1648, 1605, 1290, 1005 were reported. The differences in the spectroscopic values of the complexes $\left.\left[m e r-\operatorname{IrCl}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}-\kappa_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O}}\right)\right] \quad\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{PMe}_{3}, \mathrm{PPhMe}\right)_{2}\right)$ and complex 6 may result from the different arrangement of the ligands located trans to the ligand $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}-\kappa_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O}}$, as was observed also in the X-ray structure.

The reactive intermediate in the formation of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}-\kappa_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O}}$ metallacycle was suggested by Herskovitz et al. ${ }^{8 \mathrm{~b}}$ experimentally and by Oro and Langer et al. ${ }^{8 a}$ computationally to be an $\eta^{1}-$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ complex. Although we could not identify an $\eta^{1}-\mathrm{CO}_{2}$
intermediate in the reaction mixture, it is likely that such an intermediate leads to formation of both complexes 4 and 6. However, on the basis of the X-ray structure of complex 6, in which the hydride is trans to an oxygen atom of the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}-\kappa_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O}}$ ligand, the intermediate leading to complex 6 should be an $\eta^{1}-$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ complex in which the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is trans to the pyridine group and the hydride is at the apical position (intermediate 7trans, Scheme 4). Since coordination of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to complexes 2 and 3

## Scheme 4. Proposed $\eta^{1}-\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ Intermediates in the Formation of Complexes 4 and 6


would give the $\eta^{1}-\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ cis-intermediate (7cis, Scheme 4), in which $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is at the apical position, isomerization from 7cis to 7trans has to take place prior to formation of 6. DFT calculations predict that 7 trans is less stable by $1.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ than 7 cis, with a conversion barrier of $8.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The calculated $\eta^{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ isomer was less stable by about $20 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ than 7.

A plausible mechanism for the formation of 4 involves intermediate 7 cis, in which the nucleophilic oxygen of the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ligand at the apical position is in proximity to the benzylic position. Intramolecular deprotonation of the PNP ligand by the coordinated $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ molecule leads to an unstable metalo carboxylate hydrido intermediate (8, Figure 6). Decomposition of the latter yields complex 4 and water. Following the reaction of 2 and $\mathbf{3}$ with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in THF- $d_{8}$, we observed the buildup of a broad water signal (see Supporting Information). It is important to note that under all reaction conditions (low and ambient temperatures, polar and apolar solvents, 1 equiv or excess of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ), a formato intermediate was not detected.

The reaction of complexes 2 and 3 can take place quantitatively with only 1 equiv of $\mathrm{CO}_{2} .^{38}$ Thus, we excluded a bimolecular O-transfer mechanism, in which an oxygen atom is transferred from the metal $-\eta^{1}-\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ intermediate to an oxygen acceptor such as free $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, hydride, phosphine ligands or to the metal. ${ }^{23,24}$

Reaction of complexes 2 and 3 with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in acetone rather than benzene, toluene, or THF also resulted in the formation of complex 4. However, following the reaction at low temperatures, complex 5 was not detected, while complex 6 was observed along with two other unidentified intermediates. It is likely that the benzylic dearomatized position is protonated in acetone, ${ }^{32}$ retarding the 1,3 concerted addition of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The observation that complex 4 was obtained also in the absence of 5 suggests that complex 5 is a reversibly formed resting state. A similar effect was reported by Pidko and co-workers, in which the 1,3 -addition of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to $\left[\left({ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{PNP} *\right) \mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{H})(\mathrm{CO})\right]$ was observed to inhibit the catalytic $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ hydrogenation to formic acid. ${ }^{20 i}$

DFT Calculations. DFT calculations were performed in order to gain more insight into the mechanism of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ cleavage by complexes 2 and 3 . The free energy surface in a simulated THF solvent is shown in Figure 6 along with a schematic


Figure 6. Reaction profile of complexes 2 and 3 with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to give complexes 4, 5, and 6. (SMD(THF)-DSD-PBEB86-D3BJ/def2-TZVP//BP86-D3/ def2-SV(P)). Annotation: $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{P}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}_{2}$. Inset: Calculated structure of 7 cis with $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bond shown.
illustration of intermediates and transition states that were located. Three possible reaction pathways are described, starting from complex 2 to give complex 5 (described in blue) or from complex 3 to give complex 4 (described in black) or 6 (described in green). Thus, the DFT calculations are in agreement with the experimental findings, which indicate that complexes 5 and 6 are reversibly formed and are not intermediates in the formation of complex 4.

Starting from complex 3, coordination of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to the Ir center leads to a relatively stable intermediate 7 cis. Hydrogen bonding between the acidic benzylic proton and the O atom of the bound $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in complex 7 cis leads to the formation of an Ir carboxylate intermediate 8 . The latter eliminates water to give the carbonyl complex 4. Introducing a water molecule to the last transition state $[\mathbf{T S}(8-4)]$ assists the hydrogen transfer process and lowers the transition state by $10 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Htransfer facilitated by water was predicted in similar transformations by DFT. ${ }^{21 \mathrm{~b}, 32,41}$

The calculated HOMO orbital of complex 3 exhibits a $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{z}}{ }^{2}$ orbital on the $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{I})$ center (Figure 7), in line with a nucleophilic attack of $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{I})$ on $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to give intermediate 7 cis. The HOMO representation of complex 2 exhibits the highest coefficient at the deprotonated benzylic position, in line with the observed 1,3 -addition of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to give complex 5 .

A possible pathway for the formation of complex 6 was also calculated (Figure 6, in green). The optimized structure of 6 fits well with its X-ray structure (see Supporting Information). Starting from intermediate 7cis, which is the active intermediate in the formation of 4 , isomerization to the intermediate 7 trans takes place. Coordination of a second $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ molecule allows coupling of the two $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ligands, as depicted in the located transition state $\mathbf{T S}\left(7 \operatorname{trans} \cdot \mathrm{CO}_{2}-6\right)$. The formation of 7 trans


Figure 7. Highest occupied Kohn-Sham molecular orbital (HOMO) of complex 2 (top) and 3 (bottom).
from 6 via TS(7trans $\cdot \mathrm{CO}_{2}-6$ ) requires an activation barrier of only $20 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, while the reverse reaction of 4 via $\mathrm{TS}(8-4)$ has an activation barrier of $38 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Thus, although complex 6 was found to be more stable than complex 4 by 7.6 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, complex 6 can be reversibly formed at room temperature by removing $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ from the reaction mixture, while complex 4 is formed irreversibly. ${ }^{42}$

## CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have presented a new mode of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ reductive cleavage to CO and water involving metal-ligand-cooperation. Deprotonation of the reported complex [( $\left.\left.{ }^{( } \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{PNP}\right) \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{H})_{2}\right]$ $\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right](1)^{33}$ results in the kinetic dearomatized product [ $\left({ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}-\right.$ PNP* $\left.\left.{ }^{*}\right) \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{H})_{2}\right]$ (2) and in the thermodynamic aromatized product [(t'Bu-PNP) $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{H})]$ (3). Complex $\mathbf{3}$ is a rare example of a square planar $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{I})$ monohydride complex. A mixture of 2 and 3 reacts with CO to give exclusively the dearomatized complex $\left[\left({ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{PNP} *\right) \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO})\right]$ (4) and dihydrogen by metal-ligandcooperation. Unexpectedly, complex 4 was also obtained by reaction of 2 and 3 with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Following the reaction progress with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, a reversible 1,3 -addition of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to the benzylic position was observed, yielding complex [ ${ }^{2}$ Bu-PNP-COO) Ir$(\mathrm{H})_{2}$ ] (5) as a kinetic product. A rare di- $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ metallacycle complex $\left[\left({ }^{( } \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{PNP}\right) \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{H})\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}-\kappa_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O}}\right)\right]$ (6) was also observed to form reversibly, while the final products in the reaction of complexes 2 and 3 with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ are water and complex 4. DFT calculations predict that $\mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ are formed reversibly, and are not intermediates in the formation of 4 , which is the thermodynamic product. The calculations support a metal-ligand-cooperation pathway in which intramolecular deprotonation of the benzylic position by the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adduct in an $\eta^{1}-$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ intermediate leads to a carboxylate intermediate, which further reacts with the hydride ligand to give complex 4 and water. The reactivity of complexes 2 and 3 in activation of oxygen, water, and amines is currently being explored. We are also studying the possibility of utilization of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ reductive cleavage via MLC catalytically.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Procedures. All experiments with metal complexes and phosphine ligands were carried out under a purified nitrogen atmosphere in a Vacuum Atmosphere glovebox equipped with an MO 40-2 inert gas purifier or using standard Schlenk techniques. All solvents were reagent grade or better. All nondeuterated solvents were refluxed over sodium/benzophenone ketyl and distilled under an argon atmosphere. Methylene chloride and acetone were used as received and dried over $4 \AA$ molecular sieves and calcium sulfate, respectively. [( $\left.\left.{ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{PNP}\right) \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{H})_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ (1) was prepared according to literature procedures. ${ }^{33}$ Deuterated solvents were used as received. All the solvents were degassed with argon and kept in the glovebox over 4 $\AA$ molecular sieves (acetone was kept over calcium sulfate). Commercially available reagents were used as received. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, and ${ }^{31}$ P NMR spectra were recorded using a Bruker Avance III-300, Bruker Avance III-400 or Avance 500 NMR spectrometer. All spectra were recorded at 298 K unless otherwise indicated. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) downfield from tetramethylsilane. In ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, the chemical shifts were referenced to the residual hydrogen signal of the deuterated solvents. In ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR measurements, the signals of deuterated solvents were used as a reference. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR chemical shifts are reported in parts per million downfield from $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ and referenced to an external $85 \%$ solution of phosphoric acid in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Abbreviations used in the description of NMR data are as follows: br, broad; s, singlet; d, doublet; t , triplet; q , quartet; m , multiplet; v , virtual. Temperature calibration of the spectrometer was performed using $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$. IR spectra were recorded on Thermo Nicolet 6700 FT-IR.

Synthesis of [( $\left.{ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{PNP} *\right) \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{H})_{2}$ ] (2). A suspension of complex 1 $(9.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.013 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene- $d_{8}(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was placed in an NMR tube equipped with a septum. The NMR tube was cooled to 195 K (dry ice/acetone bath) and a cold (195 K) solution of KHMDS (2.6 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.013 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $-d_{8}(0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was injected to the sample. The resulting cold mixture was shaken for a few seconds to give a red brownish solution (some of the starting complex remained as a solid in
the NMR tube due to low solubility in toluene). The sample was placed in an NMR spectrometer which was precooled to 230 K , showing exclusive formation of complex 2 at this temperature.
${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 202 MHz , toluene- $\left.d_{8}, 230 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta$ : AB system centered at $73.08\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PP}}=291.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ and $66.34\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PP}}=291.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, toluene- $\left.d_{8}, 220 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta:-26.35\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PH}}=10.1\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{H}), 1.02\left(\mathrm{~d}, 18 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PH}}=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.45(\mathrm{~d}, 18 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PH}}=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 2.75\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PH}}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Py}\right)$, $4.15\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{vt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\mathrm{PH}}=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{CHPy}\right), 5.46\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, Py-H), 6.43 (m, 1H, Py-H), 6.52 (m, 1H, Py-H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , toluene- $\left.d_{8}, 220 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta: 28.83\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 29.49(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{P}-$ $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 34.21\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PC}}=19.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 35.82\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=\right.$ $\left.26.8 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PC}}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 36.78\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PC}}=20.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right)$, $73.46\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=55.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHP}\right), 96.33\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{CH}\right)$, $115.36\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PC}}=17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{CH}\right), 132.23(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{CH}), 163.86$ (br s, Py-C), 174.53 (br m, Py-C). Complex 2 is unstable at ambient temperatures and was not isolated. The NMR spectra of 2 appear in the Supporting Information. IR (for a mixture of 2 and 3) $\left(\nu_{\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{H}}\right)$ : 2359, $2340 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Synthesis of [('Bu-PNP)IrH] (3). A ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOK}(16.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.143 \mathrm{mmol})$ solution (THF, 5 mL ) was added to a suspension of complex 1 (104.9 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.143 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 3 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred vigorously until all the yellow suspension (complex 1) disappeared (about 30 min ), and a dark purple solution was obtained. The solvent was removed under vacuum. The residue was extracted with benzene, and the extracts were combined and filtered through a $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Teflon filter. The solvent was removed under vacuum to give a mixture of complexes 2 and 3 as a black solid ( 68.3 mg ) in $80 \%$ yield. Acceptable elemental analysis for 3 could not be obtained. The assignment of the signals of complex 3 was done based on the mixture (see Supporting Information). Complexes 2 and 3 are unstable in solution at ambient temperature, and the mixture was stored as a solid at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under inert atmosphere (in the glovebox). For the impurities which appear in solution after workup, see Supporting Information. Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained from a concentrated solution of 2 and 3 in toluene at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 121 MHz , toluene- $d_{8}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta: 76.81$ (s). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , toluene- $\left.d_{8}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta:-11.10\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PH}}=17.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{H}), 1.37\left(\mathrm{vt}, 36 \mathrm{H}, J_{\mathrm{PH}}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 2.32(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Py}\right), 6.40\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.98\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, Py-H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, toluene- $\left.d_{8}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): 29.61$ (vt, $J_{\mathrm{PC}}=$ $\left.3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 34.52\left(\mathrm{vt}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 39.61$ (vt, $\left.J_{\mathrm{PC}}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right), 120.84\left(\mathrm{vt}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{CH}\right), 125.42$ (s, Py$\mathrm{CH}), 162.30\left(\mathrm{vt}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{C}\right)$.

X-ray Structural Analysis of 3. Crystal Data: $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{IrNP}_{2}$, dark red chunk, $0.31 \times 0.19 \times 0.19 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$, tetragonal, $P 4_{2} n m, a=b=11.527$ (2) $\AA, c=9.5824(19) \AA$, from 7619 reflections, $T=100(2) \mathrm{K}, V=$ $1273.2(5) \AA^{3}, Z=2, \mathrm{Fw}=588.73, \mathrm{Dc}=1.536 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{-3}, \mu=5.377$ $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$.

Data Collection and Processing. Bruker APEX-II Kappa CCD diffractometer, Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073 \AA)$, graphite monochromator, $-16 \leq h \leq 16,-16 \leq k \leq 16,-13 \leq l \leq 0$, frame scan width $=0.5^{\circ}$, scan speed $1.0^{\circ}$ per 60 s , typical peak mosaicity $0.66^{\circ}, 7619$ reflections collected, 1092 independent reflections ( $R_{\mathrm{int}}=0.0245$ ). The data were processed with SAINT.

Solution and Refinement. Structure solved with AUTOSTRUCTURE module. Full matrix least-squares refinement based on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ with SHELXL-97 on 120 parameters with 2 restraints gave final R1 = 0.0231 (based on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ ) for data with $I>2 \sigma(I), \mathrm{R} 1=0.0279$ on 1092 reflections, goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}=1.258$, largest electron density peak $=1.071 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$, and largest hole $=-0.290$ e $\AA^{-3}$.

Spin Saturation Transfer (SST) Experiments. Spin saturation transfer experiments were performed on a mixture of complexes 2 and 3 in benzene $-d_{6}$. Each experiment involved selective spin saturation at the hydride. The response was detected by comparison (difference spectrum) with a control experiment in which the sample was irradiated off-resonance under the same conditions.

Reaction of complexes 2 and 3 with CO. A benzene- $d_{6}$ solution $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ of complexes 2 and $3(8.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol})$ was placed in a J. Young NMR tube and the nitrogen atmosphere was replaced by CO.

A red clear solution of complex $4^{32}$ was obtained immediately in quantitative yield according to ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR.

Reaction of complexes 2 and 3 with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. 1. Reaction with 1 and 10 equiv of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at Ambient Temperature in Benzene. Two NMR tubes equipped with septa were charged with benzene- $d_{6}$ solutions ( 0.5 mL ) containing a mixture of complexes 2 and 3 in a 3:7 ratio, respectively $(0.024 \mathrm{M}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol})$. 1 or 10 equiv of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ were injected to the NMR tubes ( $269 \mu \mathrm{~L}, \sim 1$ equiv; $2.7 \mathrm{~mL}, \sim 10$ equiv) and the NMR tubes were shaken vigorously for a few seconds. The solutions' color turned immediately to light brown and then to red, and a white precipitate was formed in both tubes. The reaction progress was monitored by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR. After 18 h , the samples were dried under vacuum and the resulting solid in both samples was redissolved in benzene- $d_{6}$ or methylene chloride- $d_{2}$ to give a clear red solutions of complex 4, in quantitative yield, according to ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR. When the reactions were conducted with filtration of the white precipitate after 18 h (instead of applying vacuum on the crude reaction), complex 4 was obtained in $90 \%$ and $80 \%$ yield for 1 and 10 equiv of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, respectively. For the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of the reaction progress, see Supporting Information.
2. Reaction in THF and Detection of Water. A THF- $d_{8}$ solution $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ of complexes 2 and $3(18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ was placed in an NMR tube equipped with a septum. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ was added via syringe ( 2.5 $\mathrm{mL}, \sim 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the NMR tube was shaken vigorously for a few seconds. The solution color turned immediately to light brown and then to red, and a white precipitate was formed. The reaction progress was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR. A broad signal of water at 2.5 ppm was observed after 5 h of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ addition, concomitant with the formation of complex 4. After 18 h , the reaction mixture was dried under vacuum and the resulting red solid was redissolved in THF- $d_{8}$ to give a clear red solution. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture after vacuum was missing only the signal at 2.5 ppm which was assigned as water. ${ }^{43}$ For the NMR spectra, see Supporting Information.
3. Reaction in Acetone. An acetone- $d_{6}$ solution ( 0.5 mL ) of complexes 2 and $3(7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol})$ was placed in an NMR tube equipped with a septum and was cooled to 195 K using a dry ice/ acetone bath. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL}, \sim 0.045 \mathrm{mmol})$ was injected into the NMR tube, and the resulting cold mixture was shaken for a few seconds. The color turned from deep purple to brown. The sample was quickly returned to the dry ice/acetone bath and then transferred into an NMR spectrometer precooled to 220 K . The reaction mixture was gradually heated to 298 K in the NMR spectrometer, and the reaction progress was followed by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR. Complex 4 was obtained in $80 \%$ yield according to ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR along with unidentified impurities and $1 \%$ of the cationic complex, [ ${ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}-$ PNP) IrCO] ${ }^{+33}$

Characterization of [( $\left.\left.{ }^{( } \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{PNP}-\mathrm{COO}\right) \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{H})_{2}\right]$ (5). A toluene- $d_{8}$ solution $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ of complexes 2 and $3(7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol})$ was placed in an NMR tube equipped with a septum and, the tube was cooled to 195 K using a dry ice/acetone bath. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}_{2}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.022$ mmol ) was injected into the NMR tube, and the resulting cold mixture was shaken for a few seconds. The color turned from deep purple to brown, and a precipitate was formed (assumed as complex 6). The sample was quickly returned to the dry ice/acetone bath and then transferred into an NMR spectrometer precooled to 220 K . NMR data of the sample was recorded at variable temperatures in the range of 220-298 K. Complex 5 is not stable at ambient temperature and already at 283 K signals of complex 4 were observed (Figure 4). According to ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR, the signals of complex 5 disappeared after 3 h at ambient temperature. The NMR spectra of 5 appear in the Supporting Information. Partial characterization was possible in situ, with the aid of 2D NMR techniques.
${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (202 MHz, toluene- $\left.d_{8}, 220 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta$ : AB system centered at $89.3\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PP}}=335 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ and $78.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PP}}=335 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , toluene- $\left.d_{8}, 250 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta:-26.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, at 298 K the signal appears at $\left.-26.08\left(\mathrm{td},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HP}}=17.9 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), \mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{H}\right)$, $-17.8\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, at 298 K the signal appears at $-17.82\left(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{HP}}=\right.$ $\left.\left.12.9 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), \mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{H}\right), 0.87\left(\mathrm{~d}, 9 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PH}}=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 0.97\left(\mathrm{~d}, 9 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PH}}=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.21(\mathrm{~d}, 9 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{PH}}=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.60\left(\mathrm{~d}, 9 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PH}}=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}-\right.$
$\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 2.53\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PH}}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Py}\right)$, 3.13 (br dd, $\left.1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PH}}=10 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=15 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Py}\right), 5.09(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, P-CH-Py), $6.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{H}), 6.50\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{H}\right)$, $6.86\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, Py-H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}(101 \mathrm{MHz}$, toluene- $\left.d_{8}, 220 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta: 28.88\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PC}}=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 29.42(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 29.70\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PC}}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, $30.31\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PC}}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 38.5\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right), 64.1(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, CHP), $170.85\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PC}}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CO}\right)$. The following signals could not be assigned due to the low concentration of complex 5 in solution: all Pyridine and P-C(CH3 $)_{3}$ ) carbons.

Characterization of [( $\left.\left.{ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{PNP}\right) \operatorname{Ir}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}-\kappa_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O}}\right) \mathrm{H}\right]$ (6). A benzene or THF solution $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ of complexes 2 and $3(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol})$ was placed in a 20 mL vial equipped with a septum, and 10 mL of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ( $\sim 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were injected. The solution was vigorously stirred for a few seconds until a white precipitate was observed. The vial was introduced to the glovebox, 15 mL of pentane was added via syringe to the vial, and vacuum was applied for a few min to remove excess of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The precipitate was isolated by decantation and washed with 10 mL of pentane. Complex 6 was obtained as a white solid, and was dried under vacuum for only 30 min to avoid decomposition ( $\sim 35 \%$ yield). Crystals of 6 suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by layering a concentrated methyelen chloride or an acetone solution of 6 with pentane in an NMR tube at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. According to ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR, complex 6 could not be obtained exclusively, but as the major product ( $70 \%$ by integration) in a mixture with an unidentified intermediate at 62 ppm and unidentified impurity at 42 ppm (see Supporting Information). The same mixture (with variation in the integration ratio of the signals) was obtained when crystals of 6 were redissolved in methylene chloride or acetone. The same mixture was obtained when the white precipitate was obtained upon addition of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to complexes 2 and 3, without further addition of pentane.

Complex 6 decomposes in methylene chloride or acetone at ambient temperature to give a mixture of several unidentified complexes (see Supporting Information).

Complex 6 is not stable under vacuum. After 8 h under vacuum, the resulted solid was soluble in THF, and according to ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR, the major product is complex 4 ( $65 \%$ yield by integration) (see Supporting Information).

Partial NMR characterization of $\mathbf{6}$ was possible in situ, with the aid of 2D NMR techniques.
${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 121 MHz , acetone- $d_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta: 52.11$ (s).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , methylene chloride- $d_{2}, 243 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta:-22.20(\mathrm{t}$, $1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PH}}=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{CH}}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ (observed when ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ was used), Ir-H), 1.25 (overlapping with residual signals of pentane, detected by $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{H}$ correlation experiment, $\left.\left.\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)\right), \mathrm{AB}$ system centered at 3.47 and $3.74\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, 4 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.35\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=\right.$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Py}-\mathrm{CH}), 7.69\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{CH}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, methylene chloride- $\left.d_{2}, 243 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta: 28.80$ (br s, $\mathrm{P}-$ $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 28.88\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 35.89\left(\mathrm{vt}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 36.97\left(\mathrm{vt}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{PC}}=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 38.46\left(\mathrm{vt}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=11.2\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 120.39\left(\mathrm{vt}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{CH}\right), 128.35(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{CH})$, 138.22 (s, Py-CH), 161.17 (s, Ir-O-CO), 162.57 (vt, JPC $=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Py}-$ C), 169.2 ( $\mathrm{br} \mathrm{t},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PC}}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ir-CO}$ ). IR (of the isolated precipitate) $\left(\nu_{\mathrm{CO} 2}\right): 1705.8,1664.6$, and $1284.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; (of the isolated precipitate using ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ): 1662.6, 1610.1, and $1260.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

X-ray Structural Analysis of 6, Crystallization from Methylene Chloride-Pentane. Crystal Data: $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{IrNO}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2}+3 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, colorless plate, $0.278 \times 0.209 \times 0.101 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$, Monoclinic $P 2_{1} / c$, $a=$ 16.6996 (4) $\AA, b=15.2679(3) \AA, c=16.3378(4) \AA, \beta=116.667(3)^{\circ}$, from 58988 reflections, $T=100(2) K, V=3722.49(17) \AA^{3}, Z=4$, Fw $=931.53, \mathrm{Dc}=1.662 \mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}, \mu=4.136 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$.

Data Collection and Processing. Rigaku XtalabPro equipped with Pilatus 200 K diffractometer, Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073 \AA),-20 \leq h \leq 20$, $-19 \leq k \leq 19,-20 \leq l \leq 19$, frame scan width $=0.5^{\circ}$, scan speed $1.0^{\circ}$ per $20 \mathrm{~s}, 58988$ reflections collected, 7603 independent reflections $\left(R_{\text {int }}=0.0561\right)$. The data were processed with CrysAlis.

Solution and Refinement. Structure solved with SHELXT. Full matrix least-squares refinement based on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ with SHELXL on 412 parameters with no restraints gave final $R_{1}=0.0233$ (based on F2) for data with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ and, $R_{1}=0.0272$ on 7603 reflections, goodness-of-
fit on $F^{2}=1.075$, largest electron density peak $1.658 \mathrm{e} \cdot \AA^{-3}$. Largest hole, $-0.823 \mathrm{e} \cdot \AA^{-3}$.

X-ray Structural Analysis of 6, Crystallization from AcetonePentane. Crystal Data: $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{IIrNO}{ }_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}$, colorless prism, $0.269 \times 0.090 \times 0.060 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$, Orthorhombic $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}, a=12.2328$ (3) $\AA, b=15.8577(4) \AA, c=16.0723(4) \AA$, from 29064 reflections, $T=$ $100(2) \mathrm{K}, V=3117.78(14) \AA^{3}, Z=4, \mathrm{Fw}=734.83, \mathrm{Dc}=1.565 \mathrm{mg}$. $\mathrm{m}^{-3}, \mu=4.420 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$.

Data Collection and Processing. Rigaku XtalabPro equipped with Pilatus 200 K diffractometer, $\mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ ) , $-15 \leq h \leq 15$, $-19 \leq k \leq 20,-20 \leq l \leq 20$, frame scan width $=0.5^{\circ}$, scan speed $1.0^{\circ}$ per $20 \mathrm{~s}, 29046$ reflections collected, 7110 independent reflections $\left(R_{\text {int }}=0.0538\right)$. The data were processed with CrysAlis.

Solution and Refinement. Structure solved with SHELXT. Full matrix least-squares refinement based on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ with SHELXL on 333 parameters with no restraints gave final $R_{1}=0.0252\left(\right.$ based on $\left.F^{2}\right)$ for data with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ and $R_{1}=0.0296$ on 7104 reflections, goodness-offit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}=0.949$, largest electron density peak $1.905 \mathrm{e} \cdot \AA^{-3}$. Largest hole $-0.953 \mathrm{e} \cdot \AA^{-3}$.

Computational Methods. All geometries were optimized with the BP86 generalized-gradient approximation (GGA) functional and the def2-SV(P) basis set together with corresponding core potential for ruthenium. ${ }^{44}$ The D3 dispersion correction was used for the geometry optimizations. ${ }^{45}$ This level of theory was also used for the population analysis. Thermodynamic properties were obtained at the same level of theory from a frequency calculation. Previous studies revealed that taking infinitely separated reactants as reference states leads to an overestimation of the entropy loss in bimolecular reactions, mainly because the loss of translational degrees of freedom is overestimated. ${ }^{46}$ We therefore decided to take only the vibrational and rotational degrees of freedom into account when estimating the free energy changes. This is denoted in the manuscript as $\Delta G_{\text {vib+rot }}$. All free energies are calculated under standard conditions unless otherwise noted. Minima and transition states were characterized by the absence and presence of one imaginary frequency, respectively. Single point energies were obtained with the spin-scaled double hybrid functional DSD-PBEB86 with the D3 dispersion correction and Becke-Johnson dumping and the larger triple- $\zeta$ def2-TZVP basis set. ${ }^{46,47,47}$ The DSD-PBEB86-D3BJ functional was recently shown to yield results very close to explicitly correlated coupled cluster benchmark calculations for reaction energies and barriers involving transition metal complexes with pincer ligands. ${ }^{48}$ To improve the computational efficiency, the density fitting approximation with the W06 fitting basis sets, designed for use with the def2 basis sets, was used for the BP86 calculations and the RIJCOSX with the appropriate def2-TZVP/J and def2-TZVP/C basis sets for the DSD-PBEB86 calculations. ${ }^{49}$ To take solvent effects into account, the SMD solvation model for THF was used for the single point calculations. ${ }^{50}$ The "ultrafine" (i.e., a pruned (99590)) grid was used for the geometry optimizations and the "Finalgrid6" (i.e., a Lebedev 590 points grid) for the single point calculations. BP86 calculations were performed using Gaussian 09 Revision D.01. ${ }^{51}$ The DSD-PBEB86-D3BJ calculations were performed with the ORCA program package. ${ }^{52}$
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